arXiv:0710.2082v1 [math.DS] 10 Oct 2007

# EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF NON-AUTONOMOUS STOCHASTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH FINITE MEMORY

LI WAN AND JINQIAO DUAN

Abstract. The exponential stability, in both mean square and almost sure senses, for energy solutions to a nonlinear and non-autonomous stochastic PDEs with finite memory is investigated. Various criteria for stability are obtained. An example is presented to demonstrate the main results.

## 1. Introduction

Recently stochastic partial differential equations have attracted a lot of attention, and various results on the existence, uniqueness and the asymptotic behaviors of the solutions have been established; see, for example, [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 22]. In particular, stability of solutions has been studied by the methods of coercivity conditions, the Lyapunov functionals, and energy estimates (see [2, 12, 18]).

A few authors have studied stochastic partial differential equations in which the forcing term contains some hereditary features; see, for example, [4, 5, 20]. These situations may appear, for instance, when controlling a system by applying a force which takes into account not only the present state of the system but also the history of the solutions. The exponential stability of the mild solutions to the semilinear stochastic delay evolution equations was discussed by using Lyapunov functionals (see [10, 19]). When discussing the asymptotic behavior of solutions, the method by Lyapunov functionals is powerful. However it is well known that the construction of Lyapunov functionals is more difficult for functional differential equations such as differential equations with memory.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the mean square exponential stability and almost sure exponential stability of the energy solutions to the following nonlinear and non-autonomous stochastic partial differential equation with finite memory:

$$dX(t) \quad = \quad [A(t,X(t)) + F(t,X_t)]dt + G(t,X_t)dW(t),\ t \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

---

*Date*: August 9, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37L55, 35R60; Secondary 60H15, 37H20, 34D35.

*Key words and phrases.* Stochastic partial differential equations; Energy solutions; Energy equation; Exponential stability.

This work was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10171059) and by the NSF Grant 0620539.

$$X(s)=\varphi(s)\in L^2(\Omega,C([-r,0],H)),\ \ s\in[-r,0],$$

in which $C:=C([-r,0],H))$ denotes the space of all continuous functions from $[-r,0]$ into $H$, $\varphi$ is $\mathbb{F}_0$-measurable and $A:[0,\infty)\times V\to V^*$ and $F:[0,\infty)\times C\to V^*$ and $G:[0,\infty)\times C\to L_Q^0(K,H)$ are continuous.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminaries and consider the existence of energy solutions (see Definition 2.1). In Section 3 we consider stability of the nonlinear and non-autonomous stochastic partial differential equations with finite memory. In Section 4 we present an example which illustrates the main results in this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $V$, $H$ and $K$ be separable Hilbert spaces and let $L(K,H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operator from $K$ to $H$. We denote the norms of elements in $V,H,K$ and $L(V,H)$ by $\|\cdot\|,|\cdot|_2,|\cdot|_K$ and $|\cdot|$ respectively. And $|\cdot|_*$ denotes the norm of $V^*$, $<\cdot,\cdot>$ denotes the duality between $V$ and $V^*$. Suppose that $V$ and $H$ satisfy

$$V\subset H\equiv H^*\subset V^*,$$

where $V$ is a dense subspace of $H$ and the injections are continuous with

$$\lambda_1|v|_2^2\le\|v\|^2,\ \ \lambda_1>0,\ \ v\in V. \tag{2}$$

We are given a $Q$-Wiener process in the complete probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P,\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge0})$ and have values in $K$, i.e. $W(t)$ is defined as

$$W(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\lambda_n}B_n(t)e_n, t\ge0,$$

where $B_n(t),(n=1,2,\cdots)$ is a sequence of real value standard Brownian motions mutually independent on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P,\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge0}),\lambda_n\ge0,(n=1,2,\cdots)$ are nonnegative real numbers such that $\sum_{n\ge1}\lambda_n<\infty,\{e_n\}_{n\ge1}$ is a complete orthonormal basis in $K$, and $Q\in\mathcal{L}(K,K)$ is the incremental covariance operator of the process $W(t)$, which is a symmetric nonnegative trace class operator defined as

$$Qe_n=\lambda_ne_n, n=1,2,\cdots$$

Let $L_Q^0(K,H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from $K$ to $H$ with the following condition:

$$\|\xi\|^2_{L_Q^0}=tr(\xi Q\xi^*)<\infty,\ \ \xi\in L(K,H).$$

Let $M^2(-r,T;V)$ denote the space of all $V$ -valued measurable functions defined on $[-r,T]\times\Omega$ with $E\int_{-r}^T\|X(t)\|^2dt<\infty$.

First we give the definition of an energy solution to (1).

**Definition 2.1.** *Stochastic process X(t) on* $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P,\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge0})$ *is called an energy solution to (1) if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $X(t) \in M^2(-r,T;V) \cap L^2(\Omega; C(-r,T;H)), T > 0,$

(ii) *the following equation holds in* $V^*$ *almost surely, for* $t \in [0,T)$,

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t [A(s,X(s)) + F(s,X_s)]ds + \int_0^t G(s,X_s)dW(s),\ t \geq 0,$$

$$X(s) = \varphi(s),\ \ s \in [-r,0],$$

(iii) *the following stochastic energy equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t)|_2^2 &= |X(0)|_2^2 + 2\int_0^t < X(s), A(s,X(s)) + F(s,X_s) > ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|G(s,X_s)\|_{L_Q^0}^2 ds + 2\int_0^t < X(s), G(s,X_s)dW(s) > . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In order to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of energy solution $X(t)$ to (4), we need the following conditions:

(A1) (Monotonicity and coercivity) There exist $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$,

$$-2 < A(t,u) - A(t,v), u - v > +\lambda |u-v|_2^2 \geq \alpha \|u-v\|^2.$$

(A2) (Measurability) For any $v \in V$, the mapping $t \in (0,T) \to A(t,v) \in V^*$ is measurable.

(A3) (Hemicontinuity) The next mapping is continuous for any $u, v, w \in V$, a.e. $t \in (0,T)$ :

$$\mu \in \mathbb{R} \to < A(t, u + \mu v), w > \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(A4) (Boundedness) There exists $c > 0$ such that for any $v \in V$, a.e. $t \in (0,T)$ :

$$|A(t,v)|_* \leq c\|v\|.$$

(A5) (Lipschitz condition) There exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for any $\xi, \eta \in C$ and $F(t,0) \in L^2([-r,0] \times \Omega, V^*)$ and $G(t,0) \in L^2([-r,0] \times \Omega, H)$,

$$\|F(t,\xi) - F(t,\eta)\|_* \leq c_1|\xi - \eta|_C,\ \ \|G(t,\xi) - G(t,\eta)\|_{L_Q^0} \leq c_1|\xi - \eta|_C.$$

We have the following result on the energy solution to (4) (see [4, 13, 17]):

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that conditions* $(A1) - (A5)$ *are satisfied. Then there exists a unique energy solution* $X(t)$ *to (4). Furthermore, the following identity holds:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}E|X(t)|_2^2 = 2E < X(s), A(t,X(t)) + F(t,X_t) > +E\|G(t,X_t)\|_{L_Q^0}^2, t \geq t_0.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume the existence of the energy solutions to (1) with $E\|\varphi\|_C^2 < \infty$.

## 3. Main results

In this section we discuss the stochastic partial differential equations with finite memory which are the examples of (1). Let $\rho, \tau : [0, \infty) \to [0, r]$ be continuous functions and $r > 0$ is a constant. Assume that $A : [0, \infty) \times V \to V^*$ and $f : [0, \infty) \to V^*$ are Lebesgue measurable. Let $g : [0, \infty) \times H \to H$ and $h : [0, \infty) \times H \to L_Q(K, H)$ be Lipschitz continuous uniformly in t.

We consider the following stochastic partial differential equation with finite memory:

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= [A(t, X(t)) + f(t)]dt + g(t, X(t - \rho(t))dt \\ &\quad + h(t, X(t - \tau(t))dW(t),\ t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the initial condition

$$X(s) = \varphi(s) \in L^2(\Omega, C([-r, 0], H)), \quad s \in [-r, 0],$$

where $f \in L^2([0, \infty), V^*)$. Set $F_1(t, \psi) = g(t, \psi(-\rho(t)))$ and $G(t, \psi) = h(t, \psi(-\tau(t)))$ for any $\psi \in C$. Then (4) can be viewed as a stochastic functional partial differential equation (1) with $F(t, \psi) = F_1(t, \psi) + f(t)$. Note that we do not require that $\rho(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are differentiable functions.

Now we first state the following result on exponential stability in mean square.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that Eq. (4) satisfies the following conditions:*

(B1) *conditions (A2) − (A4) holds and there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and a continuous, integrable function $\alpha_1(t) > 0$ such that for a.e. $t \in [0, \infty), u, v \in V$,*

$$-2 < A(t, u) - A(t, v), u - v > +\alpha_1(t)|u - v|_2^2 \geq \delta_1 \|u - v\|^2;$$

(B2) *there exist integrable functions $\alpha_2, \beta_2 : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$|g(t, u)|_2^2 \leq (\delta_2 + \alpha_2(t))|u|_2^2 + \beta_2(t), for\ \ \delta_2 \geq 0, u \in H;$$

(B3) *there exist integrable functions $\alpha_3, \beta_3 : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\|h(t, u)\|_{L_Q^0}^2 \leq (\delta_3 + \alpha_3(t))|u|_2^2 + \beta_3(t), for\ \ \delta_3 \geq 0, u \in H;$$

(B4) *there exists $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\sigma_1 t}|f_\beta(t)|_*^2 dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{\sigma_1 t}\beta_i(t)dt < \infty,\ i = 2, 3;$$

(B5) $\delta_1\lambda_1 > 2\sqrt{\delta_2} + \delta_3$, *where $\lambda_1$ is defined by (2).*

*Then for any energy solution X(t) to (4), there exist $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_1)$ and $B > 1$ such that*

$$E|X(t)|_2^2 \leq Be^{-\sigma t}, t \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

*In other words the energy solution X(t) to (4) converges to zero exponentially in mean square as $t \to \infty$.*

*Proof.* From $(B5)$, there exists $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that

$$(\delta_1 - \gamma_1)\lambda_1 > 2\sqrt{\delta_2} + \delta_3.$$

Thus we can take $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that

$$(\delta_1 - \gamma_1)\lambda_1 > \gamma_2 + \frac{\delta_2}{\gamma_2} + \delta_3 > 2\sqrt{\delta_2} + \delta_3.$$

Furthermore, we can choose $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_1)$ such that

$$(\delta_1 - \gamma_1)\lambda_1 > \sigma + \gamma_2 + e^{\sigma r}\frac{\delta_2}{\gamma_2} + e^{\sigma r}\delta_3.$$

Now define the function $f_1 : [0, \infty) \times V \to V^*$ by

$$f_1(t, v) = A(t, v) + f(t), v \in V,\ t \geq 0.$$

From $(B1)$ and $f \in L^2([0, \infty), V^*)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 < f_1(t, v), v > &\leq \alpha_1(t)|v|_2^2 - \delta_1\|v\|^2 + 2 < f(t), v > \\ &\leq \alpha_1(t)|v|_2^2 - \delta_1\|v\|^2 + \gamma_1\|v\|^2 + \gamma_1^{-1}|f(t)|_*^2 \\ &\leq [(-\delta_1 + \gamma_1)\lambda_1 + \alpha_1(t)]|v|_2^2 + \gamma_1^{-1}|f(t)|_*^2 \\ &= [-a + \alpha_1(t)]|v|_2^2 + \beta_1(t), \quad v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

where $a = (\delta_1 - \gamma_1)\lambda_1, \beta_1(t) = \gamma_1^{-1}|f(t)|_*^2$.
Set

$$\theta(t) = \alpha_1(t) + e^{\lambda r}\frac{\alpha_2(t)}{\gamma_2} + e^{\lambda r}\alpha_3(t), \quad \beta(t) = \beta_1(t) + \frac{\beta_2(t)}{\gamma_2} + \beta_3(t).$$

It follows from $(B1) - (B4)$ and $f \in L^2([0, \infty), V^*)$ that

$$R_1 = \int_0^\infty \theta(s)ds < \infty, R_2 = \int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds \leq R_3 = \int_0^\infty e^{\sigma_1 s}\beta(s)ds < \infty.$$

Set

$$(6) \quad K(t) = \begin{cases} E|X(t)|_2^2 e^{\sigma t}\exp\Big(-\int_0^t[\theta(s) + e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\Big), & t \geq 0, \\ E|X(t)|_2^2 e^{\sigma t}, & -r \leq t < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $K(t)$ is continuous on $[-r, \infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dK(t)}{dt} &= e^{\sigma t}\exp\Big(-\int_0^t[\theta(s) + e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\Big)\Big\{\sigma E|X(t)|_2^2 - [\theta(t) + e^{\sigma t}\beta(t)]E|X(t)|_2^2 \\ &\quad +2E < f_1(t, X(t)), X(t) > +2E < g(t, X(t - \rho(t))), X(t) > \\ &\quad +E\|h(t, X(t - \tau(t)))\|_{L_Q^0}^2\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (B2) and (B3), it follows that for $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dK(t)}{dt} &\leq e^{\sigma t}\exp\bigg(-\int_0^t[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)\bigg\{\sigma E|X(t)|_2^2-[\theta(t)+e^{\sigma t}\beta(t)]E|X(t)|_2^2 \\
&\quad +[-a+\alpha_1(t)]E|X(t)|_2^2+\beta_1(t)+\gamma_2 E|X(t)|_2^2+\frac{E|g(t,X(t-\rho(t)))|_2^2}{\gamma_2} \\
&\quad +E\|h(t,X(t-\tau(t)))\|_{L_Q^0}^2\bigg\} \\
&\leq [-a+\alpha_1(t)+\sigma+\gamma_2-\theta(t)]K(t)+e^{\sigma t}\beta(t)-e^{\sigma t}\beta(t)K(t) \\
&\quad +e^{\sigma t}\exp\bigg(-\int_0^t[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(t)}{\gamma_2}E|X(t-\rho(t))|_2^2 \\
&\quad +e^{\sigma t}\exp\bigg(-\int_0^t[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)(\delta_3+\alpha_3(t))E|X(t-\tau(t))|_2^2. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that

$$K(t) \leq 1+\sup_{t\in[-r,0]}\{E|X(t)|_2^2\}=M \quad \text{for all } t\geq 0. \tag{9}$$

In fact, if (9) is false, then there exists $t_1>0$ such that for $\forall\varepsilon>0$,

$$K(t)\leq M,\ \ 0\leq t<t_1,\ \ K(t_1)=M;\ \ K(t)>M,\ \ t_1<t<t_1+\varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

Since $\frac{dK(t)}{dt}$ exists (by (7)), we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}K(t_1)\geq 0. \tag{11}$$

From (8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}K(t_1) &\leq [-a+\alpha_1(t_1)+\sigma+\gamma_2-\theta(t_1)]K(t_1) \\
&\quad +e^{\sigma t_1}\exp\bigg(-\int_0^{t_1}[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(t_1)}{\gamma_2}E|X(t_1-\rho(t_1))|_2^2 \\
&\quad +e^{\sigma t_1}\exp\bigg(-\int_0^{t_1}[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)(\delta_3+\alpha_3(t_1))E|X(t_1-\tau(t_1))|_2^2. \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the following three different cases:

i) Suppose that $t_1-\rho(t_1)\geq 0, t_1-\tau(t_1)\geq 0$. From (10) and (12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}K(t_1) &\leq [-a+\alpha_1(t_1)+\sigma+\gamma_2-\theta(t_1)]K(t_1) \\
&\quad +e^{\sigma\rho(t_1)}\exp\bigg(-\int_{t_1-\rho(t_1)}^{t_1}[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(t_1)}{\gamma_2}K(t_1-\rho(t_1)) \\
&\quad +e^{\sigma\tau(t_1)}\exp\bigg(-\int_{t_1-\tau(t_1)}^{t_1}[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\bigg)(\delta_3+\alpha_3(t_1))K(t_1-\tau(t_1))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le [-a+\alpha_1(t_1)+\sigma+\gamma_2-\theta(t_1)]M+e^{\sigma r}\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(t_1)}{\gamma_2}M\\
&\quad +e^{\sigma r}(\delta_3+\alpha_3(t_1))M\\
&\le [-a+\sigma+\gamma_2+e^{\sigma r}\frac{\delta_2}{\gamma_2}+e^{\sigma r}\delta_3]M<0.
\end{aligned}$$

ii) Suppose that $t_1-\rho(t_1)<0, t_1-\tau(t_1)\ge 0$. Then $t_1-\rho(t_1)>-r$. From (10) and (12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}K(t_1) &\le [-a+\alpha_1(t_1)+\sigma+\gamma_2-\theta(t_1)]K(t_1)\\
&\quad +e^{\sigma\rho(t_1)}\exp\left(-\int_0^{t_1}[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\right)\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(t_1)}{\gamma_2}K(t_1-\rho(t_1))\\
&\quad +e^{\sigma\tau(t_1)}\exp\left(-\int_{t_1-\tau(t_1)}^{t_1}[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\right)(\delta_3+\alpha_3(t_1))K(t_1-\tau(t_1))\\
&\le [-a+\sigma+\gamma_2+e^{\sigma r}\frac{\delta_2}{\gamma_2}+e^{\sigma r}\delta_3]M<0.
\end{aligned}$$

iii) Suppose that $t_1-\rho(t_1)<0, t_1-\tau(t_1)<0$. Then $t_1-\rho(t_1)>-r, t_1-\tau(t_1)>-r$. Similarly, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}K(t_1) \le [-a+\sigma+\gamma_2+e^{\sigma r}\frac{\delta_2}{\gamma_2}+e^{\sigma r}\delta_3]M<0.$$

Thus, one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt}K(t_1)<0,$$

which contradicts with (11). Hence, (9) holds true and we obtain

$$E|X(t)|_2^2\le e^{-\sigma t}\exp\left(\int_0^t[\theta(s)+e^{\sigma s}\beta(s)]ds\right)M\le e^{-\sigma t}B, t\ge 0,$$

where $B=e^{R_1+R_3}M$. The proof is complete. □

**Remark 3.2.** *Comparing with* [21]*, our method does not assume that $\rho$ and $\tau$ are differentiable functions. Hence, our method can be applied to more general stochastic partial differential equations with memory.*

Next, we state the result of almost sure exponential stability.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. If the following additional condition is satisfied:*

(B6) *$\alpha_i(t)$ and $e^{\sigma t}\beta_i(t)(i=1,2,3)$ are bounded functions, where $\beta_1(t)=\gamma_1^{-1}|f(t)|_*^2$. Then there exists $T(\omega)>0$ such that for all $t>T(\omega)$*

$$|X(t)|_2^2\le e^{\sigma/2}e^{-\sigma t/2}$$

*with probability one.*

*Proof.* Let $N_1$ and $N_2$ be positive integers such that

$$N_1-\rho(N_1)\geq N_1-r\geq 1,\quad N_2-\tau(N_2)\geq N_2-r\geq 1.$$

Let $N>N_3=\max(N_1,N_2)$ and $I_N=[N,N+1]$.
Set

$$\alpha(t)=\alpha_1(t)+\gamma_2+\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(t)}{\gamma_2}e^{\sigma r}+32(\delta_3+\alpha_3(t))e^{\sigma r},$$

$$\beta(t)=2\beta_1(t)+\frac{2\beta_2(t)}{\gamma_2}+64\beta_3(t).$$

It follows from (B6) that there exists $B_1>0$ such that

$$\alpha(t)+e^{\sigma t}\beta(t)\leq B_1.$$

Then we obtain from (3)

$$\begin{aligned}E\sup_{t\in I_N}|X(t)|_2^2 \leq\ & E|X(N)|_2^2+2E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t<X(s),A(s,X(s))+f(s)>ds\\ &+2E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t<X(s),g(t,X(s-\rho(s))>ds\\ &+E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t\|h(t,X(s-\tau(s))\|_{L_Q^0}^2ds\\ &+2E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t<X(s),h(t,X(s-\tau(s)))dW(s)>.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality ([15, 16]),

$$\begin{aligned}&2E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t<X(s),h(t,X(s-\tau(s)))dW(s)>\\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{2}E\sup_{t\in I_N}|X(t)|_2^2+32\int_N^{N+1}E\|h(t,X(s-\tau(s))\|_{L_Q^0}ds.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from $(B1)-(B3)$ and (5) that

$$\begin{aligned}E\sup_{t\in I_N}|X(t)|_2^2 \leq\ & 2E|X(N)|_2^2+4E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t<X(s),A(s,X(s))+f(s)>ds\\ &+4E\sup_{t\in I_N}\int_N^t<X(s),g(t,X(s-\rho(s))>ds\\ &+64\int_N^{N+1}E\|h(t,X(s-\tau(s))\|_{L_Q^0}^2ds\\ \leq\ & 2E|X(N)|_2^2+2\int_N^{N+1}\alpha_1(s)E|X(s)|_2^2+\beta_1(s)ds\\ &+2\int_N^{N+1}\gamma_2E|X(s)|_2^2+\frac{\delta_2+\alpha_2(s)}{\gamma_2}E|X(s-\rho(s))|_2^2+\frac{\beta_2(s)}{\gamma_2}ds\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+64\int_N^{N+1}(\delta_3+\alpha_3(s))E|X(s-\tau(s))|_2^2+\beta_3(s)ds\\
\leq\;& 2Be^{-\sigma N}+\int_N^{N+1}2Be^{-\sigma s}\Big\{\alpha(s)+\beta(s)e^{\sigma s}\Big\}ds\leq 2Be^{-\sigma N}(1+\frac{B_1}{\sigma}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varepsilon_N$ be any fixed positive real number. Then,

$$
\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I_N}|X(t)|_2^2>\varepsilon_N^2\}\;\leq\;\frac{E\sup_{t\in I_N}|X(t)|_2^2}{\varepsilon_N^2}\leq\frac{2Be^{-\sigma N}(1+\frac{B_1}{\sigma})}{\varepsilon_N^2}.
$$

For each integer $N$, choosing $\varepsilon_N^2=e^{-\frac{\sigma N}{2}}$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I_N}|X(t)|_2^2>e^{-\frac{\sigma N}{2}}\}\;\leq\;2Be^{-\sigma N/2}(1+\frac{B_1}{\sigma}).
$$

From Borel-Cantelli's lemma ([1, 15]), it follows that there exists $T(\omega)>0$ such that for all $t>T(\omega)$

$$
|X(t)|_2^2\leq e^{\sigma/2}e^{-\sigma t/2}, a.s.
$$

The proof is complete.

□

## 4. An Example

In this section we present an example which illustrates the main results. We consider a sufficient condition for any energy solution to a stochastic heat equation with finite memory to converge to zero exponentially in mean square and almost surely exponentially. Let $A=\gamma_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$,where $\gamma_1>0, H=L^2(0,\pi)$ and

$$
H_0^1=\{u\in L^2(0,\pi):\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\in L^2(0,\pi),u(0)=u(\pi)=0\},
$$

$$
H^2=\{u\in L^2(0,\pi):\frac{\partial u}{\partial x},\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\in L^2(0,\pi),u(0)=u(\pi)=0\}.
$$

Operator $A$ has the domain $D(A)=H_0^1\cap H^2$. Define the norms of two spaces $H$ and $H_0^1$ by $|\xi|_2^2=\int_0^\pi\xi^2(x)dx$ for any $\xi\in H$ and $\|u\|^2=\int_0^\pi(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x})^2dx$ for any $u\in H_0^1$, respectively. Then it is known that

$$
<Au,u>\leq-\gamma_1\|u\|^2,u\in H_0^1.
$$

Let $\rho(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ be the non-differentiable function defined by

$$
\rho(t)=\frac{1}{1+|\sin t|},\quad\tau(t)=\frac{1}{1+|\cos t|},\quad t\geq0.
$$

Consider the following stochastic heat equation with finite memory $\rho(t)$ and $\tau(t)$:

$$
\begin{gathered}
dX(t)=AX(t)+g(t,X(t-\rho(t)))dt+h(t,X(t-\tau(t)))dw(t), \qquad (13)\\
X(t,0)=X(t,\pi)=0,t\geq0,X(s,x)=\varphi(s,x),s\in[-1/2,0],x\in[0,\pi],\\
\varphi\in C([-1/2,0],L^2(0,\pi)),\|\varphi\|_C<\infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $w(t)$ is the one-dimensional standard Wiener process,

$$g(t,y) = (b_1 + k_1(t))y + e^{-kt}p, \quad h(t,y) = (b_2 + k_2(t))y,$$

for any $y \in H, t \geq 0$, $p \in H$ with $|p|_2 < \infty$, $k_1, k_2 : [0,\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are continuous functions, $b_1, b_2$ and $k$ are positive real numbers. It is easy to obtain

$$|g(t,y)|_2^2 \leq 4(b_1^2 + k_1^2(t))|y|_2^2 + 2e^{-2kt}|p|_2^2,$$

$$\|h(t,y)\|_{L_Q^0}^2 \leq 4(b_2^2 + k_2^2(t))|y|_2^2.$$

Note that $\lambda_1 = 1, \delta_1 = 2\gamma_1, \delta_2 = 4b_1^2, \delta_3 = 4b_2^2, \alpha_2(t) = 4k_1^2(t), \alpha_3(t) = 4k_2^2(t), \beta_2(t) = 2e^{-2kt}|p|_2^2, \beta_3(t) = 0$ and taking $\alpha_1(t) = 1, \sigma_1 = 2k$. Now we suppose that

$$2\gamma_1 > 4b_1 + 4b_2^2$$

and $k_1^2(t), k_2^2(t)$ are decreasing, bounded and integrable functions. Then, it follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 that any energy solution $X(t)$ to (13) converges to zero exponentially in mean square and almost surely exponentially as $t \longrightarrow \infty$.

## References

[1] R. B. Ash, Probability and Measure Theory. Academic Press, San Diego, Second Edition, 2000.

[2] T. Caraballo, K. Liu, On exponential stability criteria of stochastic partial differential equations, Stochastic Process. Appl. 83 (1999) 289-301.

[3] T. Caraballo, K. Liu, A. Truman, Stochastic functional partial differential equations; Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic decay property, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 456 (2000) 1775-1802.

[4] T. Caraballo, M.J. Garrido-Atienza, J. Real, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for delay stochastic evolution equations, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 20 (2002) 1225-1256.

[5] T. Caraballo, J. Lang, T. Taniguchi, The exponential behaviour and stabilizability of stochastic 2D-Navier-Stokes equations, J. Differential Equations (2002) 714-737 .

[6] A. Ichikawa, Stability of semilinear stochastic evolution equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 90 (1982) 12-44.

[7] A. Ichikawa, Absolute stability of a stochastic evolution equation, Stochastics 11 (1983) 143-158.

[8] A. Kwiecinska, Stabilization of evolution equation by noise, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2001) 3067-3074.

[9] G. Leha, B. Maslowski, G. Ritter, Stability of solutions to semilinear stochastic evolution equations, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 17 (1999) 1009-1051.

[10] K. Liu, Lyapunov functionals and asymptotic stability of stochastic delay evolution equations, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 63 (1998) 1-26.

[11] R. Liu, V. Mandrekar, Stochastic semilinear evolution equations: Lyapunov functions, stability, and ultimate boundedness, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 212 (1997) 537-553.

[12] K. Liu, X. Mao, Exponential stability of non-linear stochastic evolution equations, Stochastic Process. Appl. 78 (1998) 173-193.

[13] K. Liu, Stability of infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations with applications, Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2006).

[14] B. Maslowski, Stability of semilinear equations with boundary and pointwise noise, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. IV (1995) 55C93.

[15] X. Mao, Exponential Stability of Stochastic Differential Equations, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.

[16] D. Revuz and M. Yor, Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999, Second Edition.

[17] E. Pardoux, Equations aux derivees partielles stochastiques non lineaires monotones, Thesis, Universite Paris XI, 1975.

[18] T. Taniguchi, Asymptotic stability theorems of semilinear stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 53 (1995) 41-52.

[19] T. Taniguchi, Almost sure exponential stability for stochastic partial functional differential equations, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 16 (1998) 965-975.

[20] T. Taniguchi, K. Liu, A. Truman, Existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic behavior of mild solution to stochastic functional differential equations in Hilbert spaces, J. Differential Equations 181 (2002) 72-91.

[21] T. Taniguchi, The exponential stability for stochastic delay partial differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 331 (2007) 191-205.

[22] E. Waymire, J. Duan (Eds.), Probability and Partial Differential Equations in Modern Applied Mathematics. IMA 140, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

(L. Wan) 1. Department of Mathematics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China, 2. Department of Mathematics and Physics, Wuhan University of Science and Engineering, Wuhan 430073, China
*E-mail address*, L. Wan: `wanlinju@yahoo.com.cn`

(J. Duan) Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago, IL 60616, USA
*E-mail address*, J. Duan: `duan@iit.edu`